Volumen 6 - Número Especial Abril/Junio 2019

# REVISTA INCLUSIONES

REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES Y CIENCIAS-SOCIALES

ISSN 0719-4705



EDITORIAL CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA



#### **CUERPO DIRECTIVO**

Directores Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras Universidad de Los Lagos, Chile

Subdirectores Mg © Carolina Cabezas Cáceres Universidad de Las Américas, Chile Dr. Andrea Mutolo Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Editor Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Brasil Drdo. Maicon Herverton Lino Ferreira da Silva Universidade da Pernambuco, Brasil

Editor Ruropa del Este Dr. Alekzandar Ivanov Katrandhiev Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

**Cuerpo Asistente** 

Traductora: Inglés Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Traductora: Portugués Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada Sr. Felipe Maximiliano Estay Guerrero Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

#### **COMITÉ EDITORIAL**

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza Universidad de Chile, Chile

**Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado** Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

**Dra. Heloísa Bellotto** Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Dra. Nidia Burgos Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

**Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González** Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

**Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes** Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

**Dr. Werner Mackenbach** Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocio del Pilar Martínez Marín Universidad de Santander, Colombia

**Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio** Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

**Ph. D. Maritza Montero** *Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela* 

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

**Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona** Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

## REVISTA INCLUSIONES

**Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra** *Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia* 

Dra. Mirka Seitz Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

**Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov** South West University, Bulgaria

#### **COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL**

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía Universidad ICESI, Colombia

**Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

**Dr. Martino Contu** Universidad de Sassari, Italia

**Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo** Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

**Dra. Patricia Brogna** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

**Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez** Universidad de Barcelona, España

**Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

**Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar** *Universidad de Los Andes, Chile* 

**Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo** Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

**Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto** Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

**Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco** Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo Universidad de Chile, Chile

## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

**Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia** Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

**Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar** *Universidad de Sevilla, España* 

Dra. Patricia Galeana Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau Centro Studi Sea, Italia

**Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg** Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

**Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez** Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire Universidad de Colima, México

**Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera** Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

**Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre** Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

**Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango** Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

**Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut** Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

**Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa** Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

## REVISTA INCLUSIONES

Dra. Yolando Ricardo Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

**Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha** Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

**Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix** Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades Estatales América Latina y el Caribe

**Dr. Luis Alberto Romero** CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig** Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

**Dr. Juan Antonio Seda** Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva** Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

**Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso** Universidad de Salamanca, España

**Dr. Josep Vives Rego** Universidad de Barcelona, España

**Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni** Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

**Comité Científico Internacional** 

**Mg. Paola Aceituno** Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

**Dra. Elian Araujo** Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

**Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa** Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

**Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla** Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el Deporte, Cuba

**Dra. Noemí Brenta** Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Rosario Castro López Universidad de Córdoba, España

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca Universidad de Valladolid, España

**Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel** Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

**Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik** Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

**Dr. Eric de Léséulec** INS HEA, Francia

**Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti** Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

**Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro** Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa Universidad de Oviedo, España

**Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov** Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

**Dr. Patricio Quiroga** Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

## REVISTA INCLUSIONES

**Dr. Gino Ríos Patio** Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Per

**Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta** Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

**Dra. Vivian Romeu** Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

**Dra. María Laura Salinas** Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

**Dr. Stefano Santasilia** Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

**Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo** Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

**Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques** Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez Universidad de Jaén, España

**Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec** Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

> Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía Santiago – Chile Representante Legal Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

#### Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





**BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN** 



## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

#### ISSN 0719-4706 - Volumen 6 / Número Especial / Abril – Junio 2019 pp. 54-82

## THE COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF THE NEW DEVELOPING PRODUCT FROM ORGANIC RICE

#### ANÁLISIS DE BENEFICIOS DE COSTOS DE NUEVO PRODUCTO EN DESARROLLO: ARROZ ORGÁNICO

#### Chuenjit Aungvaravong Khonkaen University, Thailand Phaibun Yanakittkul Royal Irrigation Department, Thailand Napaporn Likitwongkajon Khonkaen University, Thailand

Fecha de Recepción: 03 de noviembre de 2018 - Fecha Revisión: 30 de diciembre de 2018

#### Fecha de Aceptación: 24 de marzo de 2019 - Fecha de Publicación: 01 de abril de 2019

#### Abstract

This study conducts the cost-benefit analysis of a new product developed from by-product of organic rice. The raw material is organic broken-milk rice and the new developing product is organic rice pudding. The primary data for cost benefit analysis were obtained from multi sector of rice supply chain, for instant, farmers, retailers and processed entrepreneurs. In addition, secondary data from literature review were included. The cost benefit analysis carried out under several assumptions on the life span of the project, for example, growth hypothesis, capital structure, cash collection and payment period. The result of the study revealed that payback period (PB) is 2 years and 7 months (940 days), net present value (NPV),based on 8% of discount rate, is 3,008,099 Thai Baht (95,500 dollar) and internal rate of return on investment (IRR) is 22.73% that the organic rice pudding has possibility and potential for new product investment. This research is helpful on a practical of significant economic for new product development (NPD).

#### Keywords

Cost benefit analysis - New product development - Organic broke-milled rice

#### Para Citar este Artículo:

Aungvaravong, Chuenjit; Yanakittkul, Phaibun y Likitwongkajon, Napaporn. The cost benefit analysis of the new developing product from organic rice. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 6 num 2 (2019): 54-82.

#### Introduction

From the past to the present, Thailand is a key producer and exporter of rice, ranked at the top position of the world rice exporters. Thai people produce rice for both consumption and export. As such, rice is an important product for Thai people to earn incomes. However, about 10 years ago, the Thai government had launched a rice pledging policy, resulting in higher price rice compared against the market price, with the expectation that Thai exporters could cope with the price competition. Especially, in 2016/2017 the price of Thai rice was much more expensive than that of other countries such as India, Vietnam, and so on. During that time, Thai exporters had faced with a critical rice cost since the cost of Thai rice was higher than the world market price, while the yield of rice production of the competitor countries was increasing<sup>1</sup>. In 2016, the average cost to grow jasmine rice was 8,177 baht per ton

.This was an increase of 1.7 percent from the year 2015. In contrast, the average price of jasmine rice was 9,500 baht per ton. The prices of jasmine rice decreased 10.8 percent, respectively compared against those of the previous year. Therefore, farmers as the producers and exporters were affected and could not earn enough incomes<sup>2</sup>.

The changing global economic condition had considerably affected the rice market and increased the competition among rice farmers. Thai farmers are forced to adapt themselves to survive by implementing two main strategies: reducing the cost and producing different products. These two strategies can help increase Thai farmers' competitiveness level to expand and add values to rice for higher capability competition. This is one way out of poverty and as an incentive to farmers to sustainably produce crops. Considering the cost of production, Thai farmers still rely substantially on the use of fertilizers and chemicals, which cost them approximately 2,024 baht per acres or 24.75 percent of the total cost. The report of the Bureau of Agricultural controls displayed that Thailand imported many kinds of chemicals and pesticides used in crop production such as fertilizers and plant growth regulators. Especially, the imports of pesticides, herbicides, and fungicides was considerably high in the year of 2015, with the import of 149,546 tones, costing 19.326 million baht, in 2016, with the import of 160.824 tones costing 20.618 million baht, and in 2017 with the import of 198,317 tons costing 27,922 million baht, respectively<sup>3</sup>. These figures showed the unbalance between the yield of the agricultural crops and the use of chemicals. Hence, this issue is an important problem of the agricultural production food system in the world.

The two strategies (i.e., cost reduction and new product development) are in line with the healthy trend of the world. Many people are increasing more concerned about their health. People increase their exercise and are more aware of healthy food<sup>4</sup>. Thai

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Office of Agricultural Economics, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand Foreign Agricultural Trade Statistics 2017. 2018. Retrieved from. www. oae.go.th/view/1/Home/EN-US, on 28 August 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Foreign Trade, Thai rice cost and yeild 2016. Retrieved from http://www.thairiceinfo.go.th/?page=DataL3.ShowData&codeData=A1008 on 20 August 2017; Thai Rice Mills Association [TRMA], Price Rice (September, 2016). Retrieved from http://www.thairicemillers.com/images/ on 20 August 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Office of Agricultural Economics, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand Foreign Agricultural...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> P. Kantatasiri, "Future of functional foods and nutraceutical products: The challenge and potential of Thailand to ASEAN", GMSARN International Journal, num 6 (2012): 87-96.

farmers start to produce organic crops such as vegetables and rice, which are main ingredients of cooked food. Organic farming has received a lot of interest, which is one result from the health promotion policy of the Ministry of Public Health that aims to raise people's awareness on chemical using in farming. Thus, an organic farming system is a motivating area in Thailand's.

#### Agricultural system

Furthermore, organic farming is increasingly well accepted all around the world, especially in Southeast Asia, as part of the effort to promote an organic agriculture system for sustainable society, health, and ecology. The organic system is based on natural system and it does not use the chemical synthesis, such as fertilizers, herbicides, fungicides, pesticides, and the growth regulators. Hence, the organic method is helpful to minimize the cost of plant cultivation. Therefore, this system has been adopted in developed countries as rapidly as in developing countries<sup>5</sup>.

At present, Thailand's government encourages the production of organic rice by launching a policy to expand plantation areas, organic rice productivity, infrastructure knowledge transfer, and organic plant product market. In 2014, Thailand had fifty-two thousand hectare area for growing organic rice and it went up to sixty-six thousand hectare area in 2015<sup>6</sup>. Most of the rice fields, approximately 80 percent, are located in provinces in the Northeastern region such as Surin, Yasothon, Ubon Ratchathani, Udon Thani, Khon Kaen, Maha Sarakham, Roi Et, etc., and the rest is located in Northern provinces such as Chiang Rai, Phayao, and Chiang Mai<sup>7</sup>. The important rice field for jasmine organic rice production in Thailand is in Thung Kula. especially in the area around "Plubpla watershed". which covers several provinces in the Northeast such as Surin, Maha Sarakham, Roi Et, and Yasothon<sup>8</sup>. The present study was conducted in four provinces: Roi Et, Khon Kaen, Maha Sarakham and Kalasin provinces, which are known as "RoiKaenSarnSin". In these four provinces, the organic rice planting area covers around 1.9 thousand hectares, which is 6.21 percentage of the country area. Furthermore, in this area, there is a provincial strategic cooperation to support the organic rice market and other kinds of agricultural products<sup>9</sup>.

In the rice production process, the ratio between a main product (i.e., white rice) and by-products (e.g., broken-milled rice, bran, and husk) is 4:6 (see table 1). As seen, the ratio displays that in the process, the amount of the by-product is close to the main product. It is, therefore, interesting to investigate how to add value to them. Also, the broken-milled rice is sold at a very low price. It is valuable to create innovates which are new products from rice by-products and to extend their production from a laboratory scale

- <sup>7</sup> Green Net, The Organic Thailand 2014-2015...
- <sup>8</sup> Green Net, The Organic Thailand 2014-2015...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> S. Chouichom & M. Yamao, "Comparing opinions and attitudes of organic and non-organic farmers towards organic rice farming system in North-Eastern Thailand", Journal of Organic Systems, Vol: 5 num 1 (2010): 25-35; U. S. Borisova & G. M. Parnikova, "Methodological Approaches and Pedagogical Conditions of the Educational Autonomy Formation in Students-Natives of Yakutia", International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, Vol: 11 num 10 (2016): 3397-3403.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Green Net, The Organic Thailand 2014-2015. 2016. Retrieved from, http://www.greennet.or.th/sites/default/files/Thai%20OA%2016.pdf on 30 December 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Provincial Bureau of Statistics. National Statistical Office, Search by name's province. 2016. Retrieved from http://www.nso.go.th/ on 20 June 2015.

to an industrial scale. Specifically, in this study, it aims to transform the organic brokenmilled rice to organic rice pudding, which is tested and produced to meet customers' satisfaction. This purpose leads to a research question of this study "what is a cost-benefit of organic rice pudding product?"

| Components                            | Quantity (kg) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| White grain whole rice                | 423.17        | 42.3%      |
| Small broken A1                       | 173.21        | 17.3%      |
| Small broken C1, C3                   | 66.68         | 6.7%       |
| Rice bran                             | 101.88        | 10.2%      |
| Husk and other                        | 235.06        | 23.5%      |
|                                       |               |            |
| Total                                 | 1,000.00      | 100.0%     |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Table 1       |            |

Components of 1,000 kg of rice

Moreover, although Thai farmers have knowledge in a product development, they never calculate a cost

– benefit before launch a new product. This is a gap between the scientific side and economic side. Finally, this research is helpful and fulfill on a practical and an economic of a product development because a cost – benefit of a product after finish a product development process helps an entrepreneur making a decision to invest this product or not. Furthermore, this research wills an influencing on the Thai farmers in Thailand that aware the new economic pass a product development.

## Literature review

## New Product Development (NPD)

The definition and factors of the new product development (NPD) have been proposed over 40 years. Specifically, today the technology of production and processing have been more advanced, so many enterprises have to develop they product to meet the need of consumers<sup>10</sup>. Typically, the NPD composes of research and development (R&D) and marketing personnel to establish objectives for a new product<sup>11</sup>. In this present study, the concept of the new product development refers to the following characteristic of a new product: 1) a first invention product, 2) product development, 3) product modification, or 4) creating new brand products. The new product development process is divided into eight steps: 1) idea generation, 2) idea screening, 3) product concept development and concept testing, 4) marketing strategy development, 5) business analysis, 6) product development or technicalities, 7) market testing, and 8) commercialization<sup>12</sup>. Each step is explained in details below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> W. D. Hoyer; R. Chandy; M. Dorotic; M. Krafft & S. S. Singh, "Consumer cocreation in new product development", Journal of Service Research, Vol: 13 num 3 (2010): 283-296.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> W. E. Souder; J. D. Sherman & R. Davis-Cooper, "Environmental uncertainty, organizational integration, and new product development effectiveness: A test of contingency theory". Journal of Product Innovation Management, num 15 (1998): 520-533.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management (New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.: Prentice Hall, 2012).

**Step 1: An idea generation** refers to the survey of a new idea to find the opportunity or a new possible product to sell. Based on the concept of marketing, it is important to define customers' needs and wants in defining new idea products<sup>13</sup>.

**Step 2: An Idea screening** refers to scrutinizing ideas about new products by selecting a good idea from step one. The company can motivate its employees by giving them rewards to encourage them to offer new ideas. Three ideas that can benefit the company include: 1) Promising ideas 2) Marginal ideas, and 3) Rejects ideas<sup>14</sup>.

**Step 3: Product concept development and concept testing** are to develop products that manufacturers have created and translated their concept onto products and them to consumers. Concept development is the company's effort to generate ideas about products from their consumers. The question is concerned with the valuation and various opportunities of new product outcomes. Furthermore, product and brand positioning are to be considered along with the product concept. Concept testing is the testing of the product in the target consumer group to measure whether the product can attract and meet the needs of consumers. The aim is that the customer must easily understand the product and see the benefit they will receive through the use of the product. After the concept testing, the quality of the product will be better improved. Also, at this stage, if the result from the testing shows that most consumers do not accept the product, the next step should not be proceeded<sup>15</sup>.

**Step 4: Marketing strategy development** is a step following concept testing. This strategy is implemented as the new product is introduced into the market. Thus, this step concerns with market's size, market structure, product positioning, market share, and so on, and these are factors to success<sup>16</sup>.

**Step 5: Business Analysis** follows the marketing strategy development step. At this stage, the manager can manipulate the business attractiveness, which includes sale volume, cost, and profit. This step will help the manager to estimate the business success or failure<sup>17</sup>.

**Step 6: Product development or Technicalities** refers to the step following the business analysis. The objective of this step is to produce a pilot product which meets customers' requirements. Furthermore, in this step, many kinds of disciplines must be employed to develop a product prototype such as engineering, art, and biotechnology or food technology<sup>18</sup>.

**Step 7: Market Testing** is a step to test a product prototype in the real market. In this stage, the product must be ready to be sold, which means other components such as brand, logo, and packaging must be ready<sup>19</sup>.

**Step 8: Commercialization** is the final step of the new product development that is a full stream of production and marketing. The company has to be aware of timing to go into the market and has to prepare many strategies for the new product. The manager has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

to plan about the new-product campaign relying on mixed market strategies which also include market communication tools<sup>20</sup>.

In this present research, only the results from the first five stages (idea generation, idea screening, product concept development and concept testing, marketing strategy development, and business analysis) will be presented. As such, it is expected to obtain the information concerning the awareness of consumers and producers to understand their ideas about the new product.

In this paper, the NPD concepts and the stages of new product development will be applied to develop a new product from by-products of organic Thai rice, which is organic broken-mill rice. As mentioned, the organic broken-mill rice has a lower value than the whole grains. It is expected that the NPD concept will help add value to this by-product from the rice production process as well as to meet with health-concerned consumers' needs. Therefore, the organic broken-mill rice, belonging to the NPD concept, has an opportunity for enterprises to earn higher incomes.

## New Product Development from Organic broke-milled rice

#### **Organic rice production**

The organic rice production is rice produced in a non-chemical growing system that avoids the use of synthetic chemical fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, fungicides, and many kinds of growth regulators. In Thailand, the organic rice production is actually not a new knowledge for old Thai farmers, but it has been a conservative tradition of Thai farmers over 50 years ago. However, as known Thailand farmers have also used the chemical synthesis for a long period of time, over 50 years. As such, it is challenging to promote the local organic agricultural system to new generations. Therefore, it can be said that the organic rice production in Thailand is at an early developing stage<sup>21</sup>. In addition, Thai farmers have to follow the rice planting season. When the young generation farmers grow the organic rice, they also have to follow the traditional season. The comparison among two kinds of planting is shown in table 2.

| Month   | Activities                               |   |  |
|---------|--|---|--|
|         | Conventional                             | Organics                                      |  |
| January | - sell paddy rice                        | - sell paddy rice                             |  |
|         | - select and store rice seeds            | - select and store rice seeds                 |  |
|         | - grow vegetables or cash crops          | - grow vegetables or cash crops (organically) |  |
|         | - work in off-farm sectors in Bangkok or | - grow green manure crops                     |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> P. Kotler & K. L. Keller, Marketing management...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> S. Chouichom & M. Yamao, "Comparing opinions and attitudes of organic...

#### REVISTA INCLUSIONES ISSN 0719-4706 VOLUMEN 6 – NÚMERO ESPECIAL – ABRIL/JUNIO 2019

|          | cities                                      | - prepare organic fertilizers and compost         |  |  |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| February | - grow vegetables or cash crops             | - grow vegetables or cash crops (organically)     |  |  |
|          | - work in off-farm sectors in Bangkok or    | - grow green manure crops                         |  |  |
|          | cities                                      | - produce organic fertilizers and compost         |  |  |
| March    | - grow vegetables or cash crops             | - grow vegetables or cash crops (organically)     |  |  |
|          | - work in off-farm sectors in Bangkok or    | - grow green manure crops                         |  |  |
|          | cities                                      | - produce organic fertilizers and compost         |  |  |
| April    | - harvest cash crops                        | - grow vegetables or cash crops (organically)     |  |  |
|          | - work in off-farm sectors in Bangkok or    | - grow green manure crops                         |  |  |
|          | cities                                      | - harvest and collect seeds of green manure crops |  |  |
|          |   | - produce organic fertilizers and compost         |  |  |
|          |   | - repair rice field fences and dykes              |  |  |
|          |   | - apply compost and manure on farmland            |  |  |
| May      | - harvest crops and repair dykes            | - harvest crops                                   |  |  |
|          | - first plough                              | - till the soil to cover green fertilizer plants  |  |  |
|          | - buy manure and chemical fertilizers       | - first plough and repair dykes                   |  |  |
|          | - prepare seedling bed and apply fertilizer | - grow green manure crops                         |  |  |
|          |   | - prepare seedling bed and apply fertilizer       |  |  |
|          |   | - training on organic standards and plans         |  |  |
|          |   | - organic inspection                              |  |  |
| June     | - second plough and leveling the soil       | - apply compost and manure on farmland            |  |  |
|          | - broadcasting and/or transplanting         | - till the soil to cover green fertilizer plants  |  |  |

|           | - control water level                         | - second plough and leveling the soil    |
|-----------|---|--|
|           |   | - broadcasting and/or transplanting      |
|           |   | - control water level                    |
|           |   | - organic inspection                     |
| July      | - apply chemical fertilizers                  | - apply organic fertilizers and manure   |
|           | - broadcasting and/or transplanting           | - broadcasting and/or transplanting      |
|           | - control water level and maintain dykes      | - control water level and maintain dykes |
|           |   | - organic inspection                     |
| August    | - control weeds and pests (by manual or using | - control weeds and pests (by manual or  |
|           | chemicals)                                    | using bio-herbicide and bio-pesticide)   |
|           | - control water level and repair dykes        | - control water level and repair dykes   |
|           | - apply chemical fertilizer                   | - apply organic fertilizer and manure    |
|           |   | - organic inspection                     |
| September | - control weeds and pests (by manual or using | - control weeds and pests (by manual or  |
|           | chemicals)                                    | using bio-herbicide and bio-pesticide)   |
|           | - control water level                         | - control water level and repair dykes   |
|           | - apply chemical fertilizers                  | - apply organic fertilizer and manure    |
| October   | - control weeds and pests (by manual or using | - control weeds and pests (by manual or  |
|           | chemicals)                                    | using bio-herbicide and bio-pesticide)   |
|           | - control water level                         | - control water level and repair dykes   |
|           | - apply chemical fertilizers                  | - apply organic fertilizer and manure    |
|           |   | - organic inspection                     |

| November | - harvesting, threshing and drying       | - harvesting, threshing and drying            |  |  |
|----------|--|---|--|--|
|          | - sell paddy                             | - sell paddy                                  |  |  |
|          | - store paddy in granary for consumption | - store paddy in granary for consumption      |  |  |
|          | - select and store rice seeds            | - select and store rice seeds                 |  |  |
|          |  | - till soil to cover rice straw               |  |  |
|          |  | - grow green manure crops                     |  |  |
|          |  | - organic inspection                          |  |  |
| December | - harvesting, threshing and drying       | - harvesting, threshing and drying            |  |  |
|          | - sell paddy                             | - sell paddy                                  |  |  |
|          | - store paddy in granary for consumption | - store paddy in granary for consumption      |  |  |
| Month    |  | Activities                                    |  |  |
|          | Conventional                             | Organics                                      |  |  |
|          | - select and store rice seeds            | - select and store rice seeds                 |  |  |
|          | - collect rice straw for cattle          | - collect rice straw for cattle               |  |  |
|          | - grow vegetables or cash crops          | - grow vegetables or cash crops (organically) |  |  |
|          |  | - till soil to cover rice straw               |  |  |
|          |  | - grow green manure crops                     |  |  |

Table 2

Comparison of annual activities in organic and conventional rice cultivation Source: adapted from Woranoot<sup>22</sup>.

## By-product of organic rice production

The stages or processes of rice and organic rice production are identical. For the milled rice, two products (i.e., main product and a by-product) are obtained; they are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> I. Woranoot, Implications of organic farming in development: experiences from organic rice farms in Northeastern Thailand. Poverty Studies and Policy Analysis (POV). 2009. Retrieved from http://hdl.handle.net/2105/6582 on 20 June 2015.

different in sale value. The main product is whole grain rice and the by-product is brokenmilled rice. Typically, the whole grain rice has more value than broken-milled rice. Thus, after the production, when there is a lot of the broken-milled rice, farmers will earn less income<sup>23</sup>.

#### Organic rice pudding process

Organic rice pudding is made from organic rice powder. It is baked with many kinds of ingredients such as sugar, water, egg, and so on. After that, it is wrapped and packed for sale.

## A Cost-Benefit Analysis

A Cost-Benefit Analysis is a tool for the investment that helps decide the effectiveness of the allocation of social resources<sup>24</sup>. This tool is used to analyze financial dimensions and benefit of investment<sup>25</sup>. Many new business projects employ this tool to determine and forecast profitability, both investment and economic dimensions<sup>26</sup>.

## Research method

#### Data collection

For the first four stages (idea Generation, idea screening, product concept development and concept testing, and marketing strategy development), the data were collected from consumers and producers to elicit their ideas about the new product<sup>27</sup>. To review the product concept, the data were collected by using a questionnaire with two groups: 1) 620 consumers and 2) 108 operators. In regards to business analysis, a costbenefit analysis of organic rice pudding usage was performed by using the primary data of cost production and consumers' needs collected using a questionnaire. The secondary data about rice products were collected from previous research. The participants included 80 farmers from four provinces (i.e., 20 farmers from each province), 20 retailers, and 2 processed entrepreneurships.

## A Cost-Benefit Analysis

To calculate cost and benefit, payback period, net present value, and internal rate of return were included in the formula, which is shown below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Rice Knowledge Bank, Organic rice processing. 2015. Retrieved from http://www.knowledgebank.irri.org/step-by-step-production/postharvest/rice-by-products/ on 20 June 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> A. E. Boardman; D. H. Greenberg; A. R. Vining and D. L. Weimer, Cost-benefit analysis: Concepts and practice (3rd ed.) (City: Prentice Hall, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> V. Rainne, Effective cost analysis for the financial services sector. 2015. Retrieved from http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/EY-effective-cost-analysis-for-the-financial-services-

sector/%24FILE/EY-effective-cost-analysis-for-the-financial-services-sector.pdf/ on 20 June 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> R. Rubens, How to prepare your business plan. United Nations, New York and Geneva. 2002. Retrieved from, http://unctad.org/en/docs/iteiia5\_en.pdf on 20 June 2015.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Nielsen, Looking to achieve new product success? Listen to your consumers. 2015. Retrieved from, http://www.nielsen.com/content/dam/nielsenglobal/dk/docs/Nielsen %20Global%20New%20Product% 20Innovation%20Report%20June%202015.pdf/ on 30 December 2015.

Payback Period (PB) is to examine how much it takes to pay back the investment<sup>28</sup>.

The formula of payback period is as follows:

| Payback Period | Total investment       |
|----------------|------------------------|
|                | Annual net cash inflow |

The investment project is acceptable when the payback period is shorter than the project life span. On the other hand, the investment project is not acceptable when the payback period exceeds the project life span.

Net Present Value (NPV) is the total of present value of net cash flow<sup>29</sup>. The formula of net present value is as follows:

| where | CFt                   | is net cash flow at time t;   |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|       |                       | is required rate of return or |
|       | k                     | discount rate;                |
|       |                       | is initial investment of the  |
|       | <b>C</b> <sub>0</sub> | project; and                  |
|       | n                     | is the project life span.     |

The investment project is acceptable when the net present value is positive, which means that the return of this project is greater than the required rate of return. The Positive NPV means that the investment would add value to the firm. If the net present value is negative, then the project is rejected because its rate of return is less than the required rate of return. If the net present value is zero, it should be indifferent in the decision whether to accept or reject the project. The return of the project is equal to the required rate of return, so the project adds no monetary value. The decision making should be based on other factors not explicitly included in the calculation

Internal Rate of Return (IRR) is a rate of return that investors receive by investing in the projects where the average per year over the life of the investment<sup>30</sup>. The IRR is the rate of return, that is required to bring the net present value to zero. The formula of internal rate of return is as follows:

| where | CFt  | is net cash flow at time t;     |
|-------|--|---------------------------------|
|       | C <sub>0</sub> is initial investment of the projec |                                 |
|       | n is the project life span; and                    |                                 |
|       | r  | is the internal rate of return. |

The investment project is acceptable when the internal rate of return exceeds the required rate of return. Any project whose internal rate of return is less than the required rate of return is rejected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> R. Garrison; E. Noreen & P. Brewer, Managerial accounting (13th ed.) (New York: McGraw Hill, Irwin, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> R. Garrison; E. Noreen & P. Brewer, Managerial accounting...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> R. Garrison; E. Noreen & P. Brewer, Managerial accounting...

#### **Research result**

#### Reviews of the new product concept

The product concept is that an organic rice pudding is made from broken organic rice and a mixture organic rice grains. The process of this product starts with mixing water with sugar to a viscosity. After that, the mixture is packaged and sealed. The appearance of the products is that it is delicate and soft, sticky tongue, and its color is similar to the color of rice. When cupped and sealed in a 100-150 g cup with a diameter of 5.5 cm and the height of 7.5 cm, the product can be stored at the room temperature for up to 18 months. It is easy to eat, whether chilled or at room temperature. The reviewed product concept is shown in table 3 and the marketing mix is shown in table 4.

|  | Mean    |       |         |
|--|---------|-------|---------|
|  | (price) | S.D.  |         |
| Perception of organic rice pudding                                     |         |       | Results |
| Ingredients from broken organic rice and a mixture of grains           | 43      | 2.8.0 | Agree   |
| Produced by organic broken rice, crushed into powder, boiling water    | 40      | 2.8.0 | Agree   |
| mixed with sugar and water to a viscosity together and be packaged     |         |       |         |
| and sealed.  |         |       |         |
| The product is fine, soft, sticky tongue, a similar color to the rice. | 43      | 2.80. | Agree   |
| Cupped sealed a 100-150 g (cup diameter 5.5 cm height 7.5 cm) can be   |         |       |         |
| stored at room temperature for up to 18 months.                        |         |       |         |
| Sweet and easy to eat, whether chilled or at room temperature          | 43      | 2.838 | Agree   |
| A dessert, providing nutrition and health care in general.             | 4       | 2.8   | Agree   |
| The product is ideal for family members who are health conscious and   | 4       | 2.8.0 | Agree   |
| are concerned about the benefits of the product to their health.       |         |       |         |
| The packaging of the product should be in a cup of ready-to-eat.       | 48      | 2.830 | Agree   |

#### REVISTA INCLUSIONES ISSN 0719-4706 VOLUMEN 6 – NÚMERO ESPECIAL – ABRIL/JUNIO 2019

|   | )04.40(  |       |           |
|---|----------|-------|-----------|
| The packaging should be four cup per pack.                          | 4.00     | 2.8.4 | Agree     |
|   | )82.00(  | I     |           |
| The packaging should be six cup per pack.                           | 4.00     | 2.8   | Agree     |
|   | )804.88( | 1     |           |
| The cup should be wrapped with opaque plastic cup with a spoon      | 4.48     | 8.222 | undecided |
| The cup should be transparent; the pudding with a plastic lid and a | 4.00     | 8.20. | Agree     |
| spoon can be seen.  |          |       |           |
| The intention to buy organic rice pudding                           | 4.00     | 8.2   | undecided |

## Table 3 Reviews product concept

|   | Mean    |       |           |
|---|---------|-------|-----------|
| The marketing mix   |         | S.D.  | Results   |
|   | (price) |       |           |
| Product   |         |       |           |
| Products are standardized that have been certified by a trusted | 4.88    | 28.   | important |
| organization.   |         |       |           |
| The label should clearly state expiration date and ingredients. | 3.28    | 222   | important |
| The packaging sizes suit characteristics of the consumers.      | 4.82    | 2.83. | important |
| The packaging of product should be environment friendly.        | 42      | 2.888 | important |
| Products should be providing many health benefits.              | 40      | 2.802 | important |
| Products should be easy to carry and eat.                       | 4.8.    | 2.830 | important |

| Products should contain a lot of nutrients.                          | 44      | 23.   | important  |
|--|---------|-------|------------|
| Products should also be coloring and flavoring to make products that | 4.04    | 8.20. | moderately |
| are easy to eat.   |         |       | important  |
| Price  |         |       |            |
| Price should be reasonable compared to the quality of ingredients.   | 40      | 2.8.4 | important  |
| Price should be clear label.   | 4.8.    | 2.80. | important  |
|  | Mean    |       |            |
| The marketing mix  |         | S.D.  | Results    |
|  | (price) |       |            |
| Price should be more expensive than non-organic product.             | 4.43    | 28    | moderately |
|  |         |       | important  |
| The exact price should be clearly stated.                            | 4.88    | 2.80. | important  |
| Price should be a multi-level of prices.                             | 40      | 2.848 | important  |
| Place and distribution channels                                      |         |       |            |
| Selling places affect the image of products.                         | 48      | 2.84. | important  |
| Products are distributed in supermarkets and general stores.         | 4.08    | 2.88. | important  |
| The distribution channels should be made through agencies.           | 4.40    | 22.   | moderately |
|  |         |       | important  |
| The distribution channels should be made through online.             | 4.0.    | 2.8.8 | important  |
| Delivery products to the clients                                     | 40      | 2.8   | important  |
| Promotion  |         |       |            |
| Advertising through television, magazines, radio, billboards are     | 44      | 2.880 | important  |

#### REVISTA INCLUSIONES ISSN 0719-4706 VOLUMEN 6 - NÚMERO ESPECIAL - ABRIL/JUNIO 2019

| attractive.   |      |       |           |
|---|------|-------|-----------|
| Advertising through online media is accessible                        | 42   | 22.   | important |
| Sales-person should be advised to give information about the          | 44   | 200   | important |
| product and can answer customers' questions clearly                   |      |       |           |
| Sales-person is knowledgeable about products and health               | 4.82 | 2.8.2 | important |
| supplements to build credibility for consumers.                       |      |       |           |
| There should be a discount to customers who are members.              | 43   | 2.883 | important |
| There should be a free demo and sample products to allow              | 4    | 2.8.3 | important |
| consumers to try before buying.                                       |      |       |           |
| Participation in government trade fairs makes a reliable brand.       | 4.08 | 23.   | important |
| The list of discounted or free gifts during holidays as an incentive. | 4.0. | 2     | important |
| The reward point system should be attractive.                         | 4.02 | 23    | important |

Table 4 The marketing mix

## **Marketing analysis**

The test of the product samples with consumers showed that three flavors were most popular: cocoa, coconut, and orange. The size of the organic rice pudding should be 85 grams at the price of 35 baht per cup. The production capacity depends on the capacity of the machine, which can produce up to 250 cups/hour/machine. The result suggests that 2-unit blenders should be used for the production and prevention of damages, which are assumed to occur when above a maximum capacity of 750,000 cups/year, is aimed. The assumption is the machine can be run 8 hours per day (preparation, production, storage, and rinsing for 1 hour, and 6 hours for actual production), 5 days a week (excluding 10-day holidays), with a total of 250 days. The cocoa flavor is most popular. As such, it should account for 50 percent of the production while the coconut flavor and orange flavor should be 25 percent. The details are shown in table 5.

| Item              | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Sale growth rates |        | 5%     | 10%    | 10%    | 15%    |

| 1. Organic rice pudding, Cocoa flavo | r         | <u> </u>  |           |            |            |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| Number of units                      | 225,000   | 236,250   | 259,875   | 285,863    | 328,742    |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| Price/unit                           | 35        | 35        | 35        | 35         | 35         |
| Peak-time (7 months, bag)            | 131,250   | 137,813   | 151,594   | 166,753    | 191,766    |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| Off-peak (5 months, bag)             | 93,750    | 98,438    | 108,281   | 119,109    | 136,976    |
| predicted Peak (baht/year)           | 4,593,750 | 4,823,438 | 5,305,781 | 5,836,359  | 6,711,813  |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| predicted off-Peak (baht/year)       | 3,281,250 | 3,445,313 | 3,789,844 | 4,168,828  | 4,794,152  |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| Total (sales, baht)                  | 7,875,000 | 8,268,750 | 9,095,625 | 10,005,188 | 11,505,966 |
| Item                                 | Year 1    | Year 2    | Year 3    | Year 4     | Year 5     |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| Sale growth rates                    |           | 5%        | 10%       | 10%        | 15%        |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
| 2. Organic rice pudding, Coconut fla | vor       |           |           |            |            |
|                                      |           |           |           |            |            |
|                                      |           |           |           | Γ          | Γ          |
| Number of units                      | 112,500   | 118,125   | 129,938   | 142,931    | 164,371    |

| Price/unit                            | 35        | 35        | 35        | 35        | 35        |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Peak-time (7 months, bag)             | 65,625    | 68,906    | 75,797    | 83,377    | 95,883    |
| Off-peak (5 months, bag)              | 46,875    | 49,219    | 54,141    | 59,555    | 68,488    |
| predicted Peak (baht/year)            | 2,296,875 | 2,411,719 | 2,652,891 | 2,918,180 | 3,355,907 |
| predicted off-Peak (baht/year)        | 1,640,625 | 1,722,656 | 1,894,922 | 2,084,414 | 2,397,076 |
| Total (sales, baht)                   | 3,937,500 | 4,134,375 | 4,547,813 | 5,002,594 | 5,752,983 |
| 3. Organic rice pudding, Orange flavo | r         |           | I         | <u> </u>  | <u> </u>  |
| Number of units                       | 112,500   | 118,125   | 129,938   | 142,931   | 164,371   |
| Price/unit                            | 35        | 35        | 35        | 35        | 35        |
| Peak-time (7 months, bag)             | 65,625    | 68,906    | 75,797    | 83,377    | 95,883    |
| Off-peak (5 months, bag)              | 46,875    | 49,219    | 54,141    | 59,555    | 68,488    |
| predicted Peak (baht/year)            | 2,296,875 | 2,411,719 | 2,652,891 | 2,918,180 | 3,355,907 |
| predicted off-Peak (baht/year)        | 1,640,625 | 1,722,656 | 1,894,922 | 2,084,414 | 2,397,076 |

| Total (sales, baht) | 3,937,500  | 4,134,375  | 4,547,813  | 5,002,594  | 5,752,983  |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                     |            |            |            |            |            |
| Total of units      | 450,000    | 472,500    | 519,750    | 571,725    | 657,484    |
|                     |            |            |            |            |            |
| Total (sales, baht) | 15,750,000 | 16,537,500 | 18,191,250 | 20,010,375 | 23,011,931 |
|                     |            |            |            |            |            |

Table 5

Forecast sales of organic rice pudding products

The selling expenses to produce organic rice pudding are calculated based on the costs of fixed costs and variable costs. Fixed costs also take into account marketing manager, salesman salaries, traveling expenses, and advertising expenses. Variable costs depend on sales commission and transportation expenses, as shown in table 6.

| Item                     | Year 1    | Year 2                    | Year 3    | Year 4    | Year 5    |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fixed Costs              | 1,561,000 | 1,000 1,740,100 2,125,709 |           | 2,380201  | 2,672,526 |
|                          |           |                           |           |           |           |
| Variable Costs           | 225,000   | 236,250                   | 259,876   | 285,863   | 328,741   |
| Total marketing expenses |           |                           |           |           |           |
|                          | 1,786,000 | 1,976,350                 | 2,385,585 | 2,666,064 | 3,001,267 |
| (THB)                    |           |                           |           |           |           |

Table 6 Marketing Expenses

## Manufacturing analysis

To conduct a manufacturing analysis for organic rice pudding production, we calculated the costs of investment with non-current assets (land, buildings, and equipment), the purchase cost of direct materials, direct labor and factory overhead, and cost of production as shown in tables7-9. The process for the production of organic rice pudding is simple, mainly by an automatic machine; as such the quality of production can be effectively controlled.

|     |   |              | Lifetime | Depreciation |
|-----|---|--------------|----------|--------------|
| No. | Item  | Value (Baht) |          |              |
|     |   |              | (Year)   | per year     |
| 1   | Land (factory)                              | 1,000,000    |          |              |
| 2   | Building                                    | 1,000,000    | 20       | 50,000       |
| 3   | Equipment                                   | 2,165,000    | 5        | 433,000      |
|     |   |              |          |              |
| 4   | Vehicle pickup in factory                   | 850,000      | 5        | 170,000      |
|     |   |              |          |              |
|     | Office equipment in factory (desks, chairs, |              |          |              |
| 5   |   | 50,000       | 5        | 10,000       |
|     | filing cabinets, telephones, printers)      |              |          |              |
|     | Total                                       | 5,065,000    |          | 663,000      |

Table 7 Non-current assets (Factory)

| Item                                  | Year 1    | Year 2    | Year 3    | Year 4    | Year 5    |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| 1. Organic rice pudding, Cocoa flavor |           |           |           |           |           |  |  |
|                                       |           |           |           |           |           |  |  |
| Number of units                       | 225,000   | 236,250   | 259,875   | 285,863   | 328,742   |  |  |
|                                       |           |           |           |           |           |  |  |
| Price/unit                            | 14.31     | 15.03     | 15.78     | 16.57     | 17.39     |  |  |
|                                       |           |           |           |           |           |  |  |
| Total Cost (baht)                     | 3,219,750 | 3,549,774 | 4,099,989 | 4,735,488 | 5,718,101 |  |  |

| 2. Organic rice pudding, O | Coconut flavor |           |           |           |            |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Number of units            | 112,500        | 118,125   | 129,938   | 142,931   | 164,371    |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Price/unit                 | 16.15          | 16.96     | 17.81     | 18.70     | 19.63      |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Total Cost (baht)          | 1,816,875      | 2,003,105 | 2,313,586 | 2,672,192 | 3,226,672  |
| 3. Organic rice pudding, ( | Drange flavor  | -         |           | -         |            |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Number of units            | 112,500        | 118,125   | 129,938   | 142,931   | 164,371    |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Price/unit                 | 14.32          | 15.04     | 15.79     | 16.58     | 17.41      |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Total Cost (baht)          | 1,611,000      | 1,776,128 | 2,051,427 | 2,369,398 | 2,861,049  |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
| Total                      | 6,647,625      | 7,329,007 | 8,465,003 | 9,777,078 | 11,805,822 |
|                            |                |           |           |           |            |
|                            |                | Table 9   |           |           |            |

Direct materials cost

| Item         | Year 1  | Year 2  | Year 3    | Year 4    | Year 5    |
|--------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Direct Labor | 828,000 | 896,400 | 1,187,640 | 1,292,004 | 1,406,804 |

| Factory overhead |         |         |         |         |           |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Fixed Costs      | 188,000 | 197,400 | 207,270 | 217,634 | 228,515   |
| Variable Costs   | 562,500 | 590,625 | 649,688 | 714,656 | 821,855   |
| Total (THB)      | 750,500 | 788,025 | 856,958 | 932,290 | 1,050,370 |

Table 9 Direct labor costs and factory overhead

## Management analysis

The management analysis of a new product, or organic rice pudding, needs to be conducted when beginning a new business. The estimated cost is divided into three parts: cost before operation activity, cost of operating and management, and costs in the office (see tables10 and 11).

| N    | Idaaa                                   | Valaa (Dala) | Lifetime | Depreciation per |
|------|---|--------------|----------|------------------|
| INO. | Item                                    | value (Bant) |          |                  |
|      |   |              | (Year)   | year             |
| 1    | Land (office)                           | 200,000      |          |                  |
| 2    | Building                                | 200,000      | 20       | 10,000           |
| 3    | Equipment                               | 187,000      | 5        | 41,400           |
| 4    | Vehicle                                 | 750,000      | 5        | 150,000          |
|      | Office equipment (desks, chairs, filing |              |          |                  |
| 5    | cabinets, telephones, printers)         | 146,000      | 5        | 29,260           |

#### REVISTA INCLUSIONES ISSN 0719-4706 VOLUMEN 6 - NÚMERO ESPECIAL - ABRIL/JUNIO 2019

| Total | 1,483,300 | 230,660 |
|-------|-----------|---------|

Table 10 Non-current assets (Office)

| Item                        | Year 1    | Year 2    | Year 3    | Year 4    | Year 5    |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                             |           |           |           |           |           |
| Salaries and Wages expenses | 1,260,000 | 1,386,000 | 1,524,600 | 1,677,060 | 1,844,766 |
|                             |           |           |           |           |           |
| Utilities expenses          | 47,600    | 45,600    | 45,600    | 45,600    | 45,600    |
|                             |           |           |           |           |           |
| Insurance expenses          | 104,434   | 104,434   | 104,434   | 104,434   | 104,434   |
| Office supplies expenses    | 60,000    | 60,000    | 60,000    | 60,000    | 60,000    |
| Social Security             | 140,400   | 153,720   | 188,172   | 206,269   | 226,176   |
| Auditor fee expenses        | 50,000    | 50,000    | 50,000    | 50,000    | 50,000    |
| Maintenance expanses        | 36,000    | 36,000    | 36,000    | 36,000    | 36,000    |
| Gasoline expenses           | 60,000    | 63,000    | 66,150    | 69,458    | 72,930    |
| Other expenses              | 60,000    | 66,000    | 72,600    | 79,860    | 87,846    |
| Total                       | 1,818,434 | 1,964,754 | 2,147,556 | 2,328,681 | 2,527,752 |

Table 11

Administrative expense

## **Financial analysis**

Finally, the financial analysis of the organic rice pudding product was conducted to predict cash flow projections for a new business plan. It includes 5 annual periods. The cash flow projection is based on a number of default assumptions that are specified by the researchers. The cash flows projection is presented in Table 12.

| Year                                   | t       | t+1       | t+2       | t+3       | t+4       | t+5       |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Cash Inflows                           |         |           |           |           |           |           |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Cash receipts from                     | -       | 11,025,00 | 11,576,25 | 12,733,87 | 14,007,26 | 16,108,35 |
| a<br>                                  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Collection of receivables <sup>a</sup> | -       | 4,205,250 | 4,935,263 | 5,402,801 | 5,943,081 | 6,804,528 |
| Other operating revenue                | -       | -         | -         | -         | -         | -         |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Total Cash Inflows                     | -       | 15,230,25 | 16,511,51 | 18,136,67 | 19,950,34 | 22,912,88 |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Cash Outflows                          |         |           |           |           |           |           |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Operation Activity <sup>b</sup>        |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Direct material                        | 872,263 | 6,647,625 | 7,329,007 | 8,465,003 | 9,777,078 | 11,805,82 |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Direct labor                           | -       | 828,000   | 896,400   | 1,187,640 | 1,292,004 | 1,406,804 |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Factory overhead                       | -       | 750,500   | 788,025   | 856,958   | 932,290   | 1,050,370 |
|  |         |           |           |           |           |           |
| Marketing expense                      | -       | 1,786,000 | 1,976,350 | 2,385,585 | 2,666,064 | 3,001,267 |

| Administrative expense             | -         | 1,818,434 | 1,964,754 | 2,147,556 | 2,328,681 | 2,527,752 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income tax <sup>f</sup>            |           |           | 482,356   | 496,901   | 420,250   | 403,640   |
| Capital Expenditure <sup>b</sup>   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Purchase of PPE                    | 6,548,300 | -         | -         | _         | -         | -         |
| Pre-operating expense              | 388,000   |           |           |           |           |           |
| Other cash inflows                 |           |           | -         |           |           |           |
| Total Cash Outflows                | 7,808,563 | 11,830,55 | 13,436,89 | 15,539,64 | 17,416,36 | 20,195,65 |
| Net Cash flows                     |           | 3,399,691 | 3,074,621 | 2,597,033 | 2,533,977 | 2,717,225 |
| Add: Cash balance at the           |           | 500,000   | 3,003,691 | 5,233,512 | 7,036,946 | 8,828,524 |
| Total cash                         |           | 3,899,691 | 6,078,312 | 7,830,545 | 9,570,923 | 11,545,74 |
| Add: Owner equity <sup>c</sup>     | 5,108,563 | -         | -         | _         | -         | -         |
| Loan <sup>c</sup>                  | 3,200,000 | -         | -         |           | -         | -         |
| Less: Paid principles <sup>d</sup> | -         | 640,000   | 640,000   | 640,000   | 640,000   | 640,000   |

| Paid interests <sup>e</sup> | -       | 256,000   | 204,800   | 153,600   | 102,400   | 51,200    |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash balance at the end     | 500,000 | 3,003,691 | 5,233,512 | 7,036,945 | 8,828,523 | 10,854,54 |

Table 12 Cash Flow Projection

Assumptions:

<sup>a</sup> Sales data are primary data from farmers, retailers, and entrepreneurships. 30% of sales are on account and the company collects these credit sales in the month following sale.

<sup>b</sup> Production cost, operating expense and capital expenditure data is primary data as cost production from farmers, retailers, and entrepreneurships.

<sup>c</sup> The company has an agreement with the bank that allows the borrowing of 3.2 million baht at the beginning of this project.

<sup>d</sup> The company would repay the loan plus accumulated interest during 5 years at the end of each year.

The interest rate on these loans is 8% per year.

Thai corporate tax on these company is 20%.

Factory overhead excludes depreciation expense. PPE is property, plants and equipments.

## A Cost-Benefit Analysis

f

The PB result of organic rice pudding showed that this product would take about 2 years and 7 months to pay back the cost as shown in table 13 below.

| Year | Cash Flow (Baht) | Collected Cash Flow (Baht) |
|------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 0    | -8,308,562       | -8,308,562                 |
| 1    | 3,399,691        | -4,908,871                 |
| 2    | 3,074,620        | -1,834,250                 |
| 3    | 2,597,034        | 762,783                    |
| 4    | 2,533,978        | 3,296,761                  |
| 5    | 2,717,224        | 6,013,986                  |

For the NPV calculation, it was found that the value is positive when the discount rate is 8%. When the NPV value is 3,008,099, the IRR equaled 22.37%. This project would be interesting for investment.

## Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity analysis examines the impact of both a 5% and a 10% drop and up in sale revenues on the PB, NPV and IRR of this project. The same assumption was adopted. The results of the Recast PB, NPV and IRR are shown in Table 14:

| Change in Sales | Drop 10%    | Drop 5%     | 0%          | Up 5%       | Up 10%      |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| PB Period       | 3 years and | 3 years and | 2 years and | 2 years and | 2 years and |
|                 | 8.78 months | 1.52 months | 8.48 months | 4.68 months | 1.70 months |
| NPV (Baht)      | 348,484     | 1,678,292   | 3,008,099   | 4,337,907   | 5,667,715   |
| IRR (%)         | 9.85        | 16.53       | 22.73       | 28.57       | 34.13       |

Table 14 Recast PB, NPV and IRR of organic rice pudding

The return on the investment of this project is reduced dramatically by moderate revenue shortfalls based on the adopted assumptions. A mere 5% drop in revenues can reduce the IRR by one-fourth (27%), while a 10% drop in revenues can reduce the IRR by one-half (57%). The most interesting change occurs in the IRR, which drops significantly with reduced revenues. This project still has better project return. The sensitivity analysis also examines the impact of both a 5% and a 10% drop and up in raw materials on the PB, NPV and IRR of this project. The same assumption was adopted. The recast PB, NPV and IRR are shown in Table 15:

| Change in Raw | Drop 10%    | Drop 5%     | 0%          | Up 5%       | Up 10%           |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Material      |             |             |             |             |                  |
| PB Period     | 2 years and | 2 years and | 2 years and | 3 years and | 3 years and 8.82 |
|               | 1.83 months | 4.76 months | 8.48 months | 1.42 months | months           |
| NPV (Baht)    | 5,801,230   | 4,404,665   | 3,008,099   | 1,611,534   | 214,969          |
| IRR (%)       | 34.7        | 28.86       | 22.73       | 16.22       | 9.15             |

Table 15Recast PB, NPV and IRR of organic rice pudding

The return on investment of this project is reduced dramatically by a moderate cost of production cost up under our assumptions. A mere 5% up in production cost can reduce the IRR by one-fourth (28%), while a 10% up in production cost can reduce the IRR by one-half (58%). This was more highly significant with an increased cost of raw materials; this project still has better project return.

#### **Conclusion and discussion**

Based on the financial analysis, the results showed that the cash flow increases when the cash balance at the end in every year is rising. Furthermore, the investment in organic rice pudding production is 8,308,563 baht. The capital structure contains a loan of 4.0 million baht (48.08%) and the owner equity of 5,108,563 baht (.8.3.%). From the first year of the organic rice pudding forecast, it was found that the total net sale in the first year was..8.0 million baht. The product growth rate is 5% in the second year, 10% in the third year, 10% in the fourth year, and 15% in the fifth year. These show that the profit constantly increases each year. For the cost-benefit analysis, it was found that the PB is 2.7 years, which is less than 5 years. Finally, the NPV is positive; the IRR value is high. As such, it can be concluded that the investment for this new product is promising. For information about investing as inside-out planning<sup>31</sup>, the analysis between resources and production planning is conducted. The manager should be cautious of this risk because the organic broken-milled rice has limited quantity in the organic production process. Thus, the manager must have a good plan for the production of organic rice pudding product in the future.

#### Implication and future research

This research is helpful and fulfills on a practical and an economic of a product development because a cost-benefit of a product after finish a product development process helps an entrepreneur making a decision to invest this product or not. Furthermore, this research wills an influencing on the Thai farmers in Thailand that aware the new economic pass a product development. This research is a model for a cost-benefit of the new product that part of a new product development (NPD) process concept. The limitation of this research is a lack of a real investment step because step 1-7 of a new product development (NPD) process is a lab scale. Thus in the future should be continuing the last step (step 8: a real investment step).

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank National Research Council of Thailand for sponsoring and giving financial support to this research project. This paper is part of the research project entitled "Business and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Dietary Supplement Products from Broken-Milled and By-Product of Organic Hommali Rice in Roi-Kaen-Sarn-Sin Area"

## References

Boardman, A. E.; Greenberg, D. H.; Vining, A. R. and Weimer, D. L. Cost-benefit analysis: Concepts and practice (3rd ed.). City: Prentice Hall. 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> T. A. Shrimp, Advertising, promotion and supplemental aspects of integrated marketing communications (6th ed.) (Mason, Ohio: Thomson South-Western, 2003).

Borisova, U. S. & Parnikova, G. M. "Methodological Approaches and Pedagogical Conditions of the Educational Autonomy Formation in Students-Natives of Yakutia". International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, Vol: 11 num 10 (2016): 3397-3403.

Chouichom, S. & Yamao, M. "Comparing opinions and attitudes of organic and nonorganic farmers towards organic rice farming system in North-Eastern Thailand". Journal of Organic Systems, Vol: 5 num 1 (2010): 25-35.

Department of Foreign Trade. Thai rice cost and yeild 2016. Retrieved from http://www.thairiceinfo.go.th/?page=DataL3.ShowData&codeData=A1008 on 20 August 2017.

Garrison, R.; Noreen, E. & Brewer, P. Managerial accounting (13th ed.). New York: McGraw Hill, Irwin. 2010.

Green Net. The Organic Thailand 2014-2015. 2016. Retrieved from, http://www.greennet.or.th/sites/default/files/Thai%20OA%2016.pdf on 30 December 2017.

Hoyer, W. D.; Chandy, R.; Dorotic, M.; Krafft, M. & Singh, S. S. "Consumer cocreation in new product development". Journal of Service Research, Vol: 13 num 3 (2010): 283-296.

Kantatasiri, P. "Future of functional foods and nutraceutical products: The challenge and potential of Thailand to ASEAN". GMSARN International Journal, num 6 (2012): 87-96.

Kotler, P. & Keller, K. L. Marketing management. New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.: Prentice Hall. 2012.

Nielsen. Looking to achieve new product success? Listen to your consumers. 2015. Retrieved from, http://www.nielsen.com/content/dam/nielsenglobal/dk/docs/Nielsen %20Global%20New%20Product% 20Innovation%20Report%20June%202015.pdf/ on 30 December 2015.

Office of Agricultural Economics, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives. Thailand Foreign Agricultural Trade Statistics 2017. 2018. Retrieved from. www. oae.go.th/view/1/Home/EN-US, on 28 August 2018.

Provincial Bureau of Statistics. National Statistical Office. Search by name's province. 2016. Retrieved from http://www.nso.go.th/ on 20 June 2015.

Rainne, V. Effective cost analysis for the financial services sector. 2015. Retrieved from http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/EY-effective-cost-analysis-for-the-financial-services-sector/%24FILE/EY-effective-cost-analysis-for-the-financial-services-sector.pdf/ on 20 June 2015.

Rice Knowledge Bank. Organic rice processing. 2015. Retrieved from http://www.knowledgebank.irri.org/step-by-step-production/postharvest/rice-by-products/ on 20 June 2015.

Rubens, R. How to prepare your business plan. United Nations, New York and Geneva. 2002. Retrieved from, http://unctad.org/en/docs/iteiia5\_en.pdf on 20 June 2015.

Shrimp, T. A. Advertising, promotion and supplemental aspects of integrated marketing communications (6th ed.). Mason, Ohio: Thomson South-Western. 2003.

Souder, W. E.; Sherman, J. D. & Davis-Cooper, R. "Environmental uncertainty, organizational integration, and new product development effectiveness: A test of contingency theory". Journal of Product Innovation Management, num 15 (1998): 520-533.

Woranoot, I. Implications of organic farming in development: experiences from organic rice farms in Northeastern Thailand. Poverty Studies and Policy Analysis (POV). 2009. Retrieved from http://hdl.handle.net/2105/6582 on 20 June 2015.

Thai Rice Mills Association [TRMA]. Price Rice (September, 2016). Retrieved from http://www.thairicemillers.com/images/ on 20 August 2018.

## CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de la **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones.** 

CHUENJIT AUNGVARAVONG / PHAIBUN YANAKITTKUL / NAPAPORN LIKITWONGKAJON