

EDITORIAL CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA



CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras Universidad de Los Lagos, Chile

Subdirectores

Mg © Carolina Cabezas Cáceres Universidad de Las Américas, Chile Dr. Andrea Mutolo

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda *Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile*

Editor Científico
Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Brasil

Drdo. Maicon Herverton Lino Ferreira da Silva Universidade da Pernambuco, Brasil

Editor Ruropa del Este Dr. Alekzandar Ivanov Katrandhiev Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero *Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile*

Traductora: Portugués

Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Sr. Felipe Maximiliano Estay Guerrero *Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile*

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado *Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile*

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto *Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil*

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz

Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya

Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga

Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria



Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra

Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz

Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov

South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía

Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu

Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie

Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar

Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo

Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto

Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo

Universidad de Chile, Chile

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar

Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau

Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg

Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez

Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire

Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera

Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre

Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura

Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros

Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández

Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango

Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut

Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras



Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades Estatales América Latina y el Caribe

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el Deporte. Cuba

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Rosario Castro López

Universidad de Córdoba, España

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile



Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Per

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas

Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia

Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López

Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo

Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques

Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez

Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec

Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía Santiago – Chile Representante Legal Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:















































Bibliothèque Library









































BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

ISSN 0719-4706 - Volumen 6 / Número Especial / Abril - Junio 2019 pp. 288-295

ORGANIZATION OF ADVOCACY IN VARIOUS LEGAL SYSTEMS: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

ORGANIZACIÓN DE LA ABOGACÍA EN DIVERSOS SISTEMAS JURÍDICOS: ANÁLISIS COMPARATIVO

Ivan N. Kuksin
Belgorod State University, Russia
Andrey B. Novikov
San Petersburgo State University of Economic, Russia
Vasily J. Potapov
Pitirim Sorokin Syktyvkar State University, Russia
Vladimir S. Sinenko
Belgorod State University, Russia
Husen A. Thabisimov
Pyatigorsk State University, Russia

Fecha de Recepción: 12 de febrero de 2019 – Fecha Revisión: 15 de marzo de 2019 Fecha de Aceptación: 29 de marzo de 2019 – Fecha de Publicación: 01 de abril de 2019

Abstract

This article observes organization of providing legal services in different countries, such as the US, the UK, Germany, France, China and Russia. The authors describe the procedure of admitting to the legal profession and the sphere of legal activity. They also pay attention whether there are public associations of lawyers or not in the mentioned countries. The article draws attention to a lawyer «monopoly» in most countries across the full range of legal services. The article points to the main directions of development of advocacy in Russia.

Keywords

Lawyer - Advocate - Attorny - Barrister - Solicitor - Legal services - Organization of advocacy

Para Citar este Artículo:

Kuksin, Ivan N.; Novikov, Andrey B.; Potapov, Vasily J.; Sinenko, Vladimir S. y Thabisimov, Husen A. Organization of advocacy in various legal systems: comparative analysis. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 6 num 2 (2019): 288-295.

Introduction

The institution of advocacy is inextricably linked with the judicial system, since it cannot exist if there aren't any of judicial institutions. Также адвокатура, в том числе в институциональном измерении, играет одну из ключевых ролей в системе ограничений публичной власти и минимизации правозащитных рисков¹. Lawyers are defenders of the of people's interests in court disputes. Thus, the bar is a public institution that arose as a result of the improvement of the judicial system of the human community.

Currently, the advocacy in Russia has been still reforming. In 2017, the Ministry of Justice of the Russian Federation proposed a public discussion on The Concept of regulating the market of professional legal aid. This Concept is a system of views on priority goals and directions of activities for reforming the national legal services market. We believe this process should take into account the development of advocacy in foreign countries with developed market economies. The study of advocacy systems in foreign countries helps to predict the development of the advocacy institute in Russia. In addition, it contributes to the understanding of the goals and objectives of this institution, which is a part of the law culture.

The organization of the advocacy (bar) in various countries is historically due to the peculiarities of the respective state development and depends on the type of legal system. All modern legal systems can be divided into systems with a high proportion of statutory law (for example, Germany, France, Italy, etc.) and systems with a high proportion of case law (first of all, the United Kingdom, the United States)². In legal systems with predominance of case law, it is very difficult to immediately determine the applicable law. It is easier to do this in states of state law; therefore the number of lawyers is less there than in case law systems. In accordance with the foregoing, it is advisable to analyze the different types of organization of the bar in the states of continental Europe, the United Kingdom and the United States, China and Russia.

Lawyers in different countries do not hold the same titles or share the same role and status [8]. In this article we will use the universal term – lawyer (advocate). We will also refer to special terms that are used in the respective country.

Methods

Various general scientific methods and the methods of logical cognition are used in the work: analysis and synthesis, systemic, functional and formal-logical approaches. The

¹ G. S. Belyaeva; B. V. Makogon; S. N. Bezugly; M. L. Prokhorova, & D. Szpoper, "Basic Ideas of State Power Limitation in Political and Legal Doctrine", J. Pol. & L. num 10 (2017); O. V. D'yachenko; I. N. Kuksin,; B. V. Makogon; L. A. Spektor & O. V. Vladimirova, "Restrictive-regulatory potential of procedural standard", Revista Publicando, Vol: 5 num 14 (2018): 830-836; I. N. Kuksin; M. V. Markhgeym; A. E. Novikova & E. E. Tonkov, "Justice as Principle: Aspects of Genesis in Social and Regulatory Systems", The Social Sciences, Vol: 11 num 10 (2016): 2367-2370; B. V. Makogon; M. V. Markhgeym; A. E. Novikova; L. I. Nikonova & N. V. Stus, "Constitutional Justice in Circumstances of Public Authority Limits", Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 7 num 2 (2018): 722-728 y L. V. Butko; M. V. Markhgeym; A. E. Novikova; L. A. Pozharova & E. E. Tonkov, "Personal Dignity in the European Legal Culture", Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 6 num 4 (2017): 296-303

² G. A. Borisov; E. Yu Tsukanova; E. E. Tonkov; S. V. Sinenko and M. A. Zinkovskiy, "The place of the Russian legislation in the modern legal systems", Revista Publicando Vol: 5 NUM 16 (2018): 822-828.

development of conclusions was facilitated by the application of formal-legal and comparative-legal methods.

Discussion and results

We should start to analyze the advocacy development with the United States. The number of lawyers in the United States is higher than in other countries in absolute and relative terms. The complex legal system of the United States, as well as the high role of legal regulation in American society, determine the special place of the bar. Lawyers hold many key positions in the economy and the state political mechanism of the United States. 60% of the total number of all lawyers in the world work in the United States.

Future lawyers in the USA need to study for four years in college and after graduation pass the Law School Admission Test. Then they attend a law school for 3 years to get law degree. However, in order to practice law in a particular state, they need to be admitted into the state bar. This is done by passing the bar examination. After passing the bar examination they are officially allowed to practice law in that state. Each state has a state bar association. Most states have established mandatory membership in the association for all persons admitted to law practice.

The terms attorney and lawyer are often used interchangeably in the United States. There is very little distinction made between the two. This difficulty to differentiate is a result of the fact that in the United States, unlike in other countries, this distinction is not made. An attorney at law or attorney-at-law is typically abbreviated to attorney in everyday conversation. An attorney is considered the official name for a lawyer in the United States. The first known use of the term attorney-at-law was in 1768. An attorney-at-law is defined as a practitioner in a court of law who is legally qualified to prosecute and defend actions in such court on the retainer of clients. An attorney actually practices law in court whereas a lawyer may or may not. An attorney has passed the bar exam and has been approved to practice law in his jurisdiction. Although the terms often operate as synonyms, an attorney is a lawyer but a lawyer is not necessarily an attorney. To the general public, these terms may be used interchangeably but to the American Bar Association, the slight distinction is significant³.

The American Bar Association is the all-national organization of attorneys with voluntary membership. The American Bar Association (ABA), founded August 21, 1878 is a voluntary bar association of lawyers and law students, which is not specific to any jurisdiction in the United States. The ABA's most important stated activities are the setting of academic standards for law schools, and the formulation of model ethical codes related to the legal profession. The ABA has 410,000 members. In the US, there is no legal act that determines the lawyer's rights in legal proceedings. The status of counsel arises from custom, case law, and professional ethics. The fundamental condition for the participation of an attorney in legal proceedings is defined in Amendment VI (1791) to the US Constitution: «In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, ... and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense»⁴.

⁴ The Constitution of the United States of America, en https://wipolex.wipo.int/ru/text/177216 (accessed 09 February 2019).

³ V. S. Attorney, Lawyer Definition en https://www.lawyeredu.org/attorney-vs-lawyer.html (accessed 09 February 2019).

The organization of the bar in the UK is one of the most complex in the world. This is the result of tradition and English conservatism. Currently, the status of a lawyer in the UK is determined by Courts and Legal Services Act 1990⁵. This act first introduced such concepts as: «advocate» and «advocacy». In the UK, the bar is divided into barristers and solicitors.

Barristers are members of the Bar Council of England and Wales and they generally operate in sets of 'chambers' but are not employed by a law firm as such. To become a barrister you first must obtain either an undergraduate degree in law (LLB), or an undergraduate degree in any other subject followed by the conversion course. The second step involves one-year Bar Professional Training Course. The last stage is completed by pupillage, i.e. one year spent as a pupil in barristers' chambers.

Solicitors are members of the Law Society. The legal status of solicitors is determined by The Solicitors act 1974⁶ The regulation of solicitors is done by an independent body called the Solicitors Regulatory Authority (SRA). Solicitors do not generally have rights of audience in court but there are some exceptions, they generally do the legal research and can represent their clients in legal negotiations but then pass the case over to a barrister if it is necessary to take action in court. It is rare that a client will directly employ a barrister⁷.

To become a solicitor, you must complete a vocational 1-2 year course called the Legal Practice Course (LPC) which is designed to prepare you for solicitor practice. Following this, you must complete a 2 year training contract, which is practical legal work experience (typically carried out in a law firm) which must be completed in order to qualify as a solicitor.

The key legislation in Germany governing the legal profession is the Federal Lawyer's Act (Bundesrechtsanwaltsordnung)⁸. It defines the basic rights and duties of lawyers and sets a framework for the practice of lawyers. It is supplemented by the Rules of Professional Practice, passed by the Federal German Bar Association as an act of self-regulation of the legal profession. There is only one category of lawyer (Rechtsanwalt). A Rechtsanwalt is a private practice lawyer, advising clients on all legal matters and representing them before authorities and courts or other dispute resolution bodies. The Rechtsanwalt takes part in the administration of justice with the duty to abide by professional ethics principles, especially the principle of independence. Lawyers must pass two state exams. The first state exam concludes the academic education at university and the second concludes a subsequent two-year traineeship (Rechtsreferendariat). This requirement is the same for private practice and in-house lawyers.

The regulatory authorities responsible for lawyers are the Federal German Bar Association and the regional bar associations (Rechtsanwaltskammern). The Federal

⁵ Courts and Legal Services Act 1990, en https://www.legislation.gov.uk /ukpga/1990/41/contents (accessed 09 February 2019).

⁶ The Solicitors act, en http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1974/47/ pdfs/ukpga_19740047_en.pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

⁷ United Kingdom Law: Legal Profession, en http://ox.libguides.com/c.php?g=422832&p=2887402 (accessed 09 February 2019).

⁸ Federal Lawyer's Act (Bundesrechtsanwaltsordnung), en http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/brao/index.html (accessed 09 February 2019).

German Bar Association consists of the 27 regional bar associations and the bar association of lawyers at the Federal Court of Justice. A lawyer must be a member of the regional bar association of their place of business (section 12, Federal Lawyer's Act). However, being registered with a regional bar association does not impose any geographical restrictions on a lawyer's work.

German law allows law firms to be organised in various forms. Law firms may be incorporated as a joint stock company or a corporation under foreign law (for example, as a limited liability partnership under English law). However, the traditional and still most common forms of organisation are a sole practice, a civil law partnership (Gesellschaft bürgerlichen Rechts).

Lawyers are not limited in their capacity to advise or represent their clients, either geographically or regarding the area of practice. The only exception is the representation of clients in civil proceedings before the Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof), Germany's highest court in civil and criminal matters. In this case, the litigating party must appoint a lawyer who is specifically admitted to the Federal Court of Justice. Lawyers (avocats) in France are officers of the court and members of an independent self-employed profession. The rules that govern them are in the main laid down in Act (loi) No 71-1130 of 31 December 1971 reforming certain judicial and legal professions⁹, and Order (décret) No 91-1197 of 27 November 1991 structuring the profession of lawyer¹⁰.

It is necessary to pass the examination for entry into a Law School (EDA), which universities administer as part of the Institute of Judicial Studies (IEJ), as a condition of access to the profession. This examination may be taken only three times, and a candidate must have completed the first year of a four-year master of laws degree or have an equivalent qualification. Still, most candidates hold a second year law degree. There is no national association of lawyers, as lawyers wish to retain a proper representation of all bar associations. There are 161 bar associations (barreaux) in mainland and overseas France, each attached to a regional court (tribunal de grande instance).

Lawyers' professional activities in France are divided into two areas: the activities on which lawyers have a monopoly, and those they can perform concurrently with other types of professionals. Article 4 of Act 71-1130 of December 31, 1971 on reforming certain judicial and juridical professions, set forth the principle that lawyers have a monopoly on assisting and representing parties, as well as postulation [full and binding legal representation] and pleading before courts of first instance and appeals courts, judicial and administrative jurisdictions, and all jurisdictional or disciplinary bodies. This monopoly has no territorial limitations. All lawyers may represent, assist, and plead before all French jurisdictions or administrative commissions.

In China, the activities of the Bar are regulated by Law of the People's Republic of China on Lawyers¹¹.

⁹ Act 71-1130 of December 31, 1971 reforming certain legal and judicial professions en http://encyclopedie.avocats.fr/GED_BWZ/197521391570/CNB-2014-06-00_aei_Textes-Profession-avocat-LG-eNG(P).pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

¹⁰ Order (décret) No 91-1197 of 27 November 1991 organizing the legal profession en http://encyclopedie.avocats.fr/GED_BWZ/197521391570/CNB-2014-06-00_aei_Textes-Profession-avocat-LG-eNG(P).pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

Law of the People's Republic of China on Lawyers en http://english.gov.cn/archive/laws_regulations/2014/08/23/content_281474983042459.htm (accessed 09 February 2019).

In accordance with article 2 of this Act, an advocate means a professional who has acquired a lawyer's practice certificate pursuant to law, and is authorized or designated to provide the parties with legal services. An advocate shall protect the lawful rights and interests of parties, ensure the correct implementation of law, and safeguard fairness and justice of the society.

A person who intends to apply for the legal practice of a lawyer shall meet the following conditions: (1) upholding the Constitution of the People's Republic of China; (2) having passed the unified national judicial examination; (3) having completed a full year's internship at a law firm; and (4) being a person of good character and conduct.

A person who has not obtained a license for advocacy cannot work as a lawyer. A lawyer with a license can work throughout China. There are three types of law firms in China: 1) a law firm with public funding; 2) cooperative law firm; and 3) joint-stock law firm. These organizations have the right to hire lawyers to carry out their activities.

Legal services in the Russian Federation are not licensed. Actually, legal services can be provided by a person who does not have a legal education. Such a person may be a representative in court in civil and commercial matters. However, he cannot be a criminal defense counsel.

At the same time Russia has an institution of advocacy (bar). Advocacy in Russia is based upon the principles of legality, independence, self-governance, corporate nature, as well as equality of the advocates rights. The advocacy is a professional community of advocates and, as a civil society institution, is not a part of the system governmental authorities or local authorities. The organizational forms of the Bar as a self-governed community of advocates are regional chambers of lawyers – the Russian Federal Chamber of Lawyers (Advocates) of the Russian Federation and 85 regional bar chambers.

The Federal Chamber of Lawyers (Advocates) of the Russian Federation was formed on January 31, 2003 by decision of the First All-Russian Congress of Lawyers. The Federal Chamber of Lawyers acts on the basis of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, the Federal Act «On the practice of law and the legal profession in the Russian Federation» and the Charter of the Federal Chamber of Lawyers. An advocate in the Russian Federation is a person who has received the status of an advocates and a law license in accordance with the Federal Act.

A law license in the Russian Federation is available to a person with a law degree obtained in a government-accredited course or a postgraduate law degree. Said person must also have at least two years of practice of law or have completed his apprenticeship in a legal practice. The applicant must pass a qualifying exam. After that, he is allowed to practice law. A person who has been granted advocate status may be a criminal defense counsel. At the same time, in 2017, the Ministry of Justice of the Russian Federation developed the Concept for regulating the legal services market. This document assumes access to representation in court only by advocates. This idea is currently under public discussion.

-

¹² Federal Law "On the practice of law and the legal profession in the Russian Federation" (May 31, 2005) en https://en.fparf.ru/documents/test/21156/ (accessed 09 February 2019).

Conclusion

A brief analysis of the organization of the bar in various countries shows the centralization of providing the legal aid. Despite some differences, in most countries there is a special tolerance for the legal profession. According to the study made by the Federal Chamber of Lawyers of the Russian Federation, there is an advocate's monopoly on all legal services de jure or de facto in the absolute majority of countries. In Russia, lawyers have the only exclusive right to represent a client in criminal cases in court. The introduction of the advocate's monopoly of has been discussed in Russia for the past few years. This will help improve the quality of legal services. However, the cost of legal services will increase. In many countries there is a public organization that unites persons of the legal profession.

The advocacy (bar) in most countries is based upon the principles of legality, independence, self-governance, corporate nature. In the Russian Federation, such an organization is the Federal Chamber of Lawyers. It brings together regional chambers of lawyers who hold a qualifying exam for applicants pretending to be an advocate.

References

Act 71-1130 of December 31, 1971 reforming certain legal and judicial professions en http://encyclopedie.avocats.fr/GED_BWZ/197521391570/CNB-2014-06-00_aei_Textes-Profession-avocat-LG-eNG(P).pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

Attorney, V. S. Lawyer Definition en https://www.lawyeredu.org/attorney-vs-lawyer.html (accessed 09 February 2019).

Belyaeva, G. S.; Makogon, B. V.; Bezugly, S. N.; Prokhorova, M. L. & Szpoper, D. "Basic Ideas of State Power Limitation in Political and Legal Doctrine". J. Pol. & L., 10 (2017).

Borisov, G.A.; Tsukanova, E. Yu.; Tonkov, E. E.; Sinenko, V. S. and Zinkovskiy, M.A. "The place of the Russian legislation in the modern legal systems". Revista Publicando Vol: 5 NUM 16 (2018): 822-828.

Butko, L. V.; Markhgeym, M. V.; Novikova, A. E.; Pozharova, L. A. & Tonkov, E. E. "Personal Dignity in the European Legal Culture". Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 6 num 4 (2017): 296-303.

Courts and Legal Services Act 1990 en https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/41/contents (accessed 09 February 2019).

D'yachenko O. V.; Kuksin, I. N.; Makogon, B. V.; Spektor, L. A. & Vladimirova, O. V. "Restrictive-regulatory potential of procedural standard". Revista Publicando, Vol: 5 num 14 (2018): 830-836.

Federal Lawyer's Act (Bundesrechtsanwaltsordnung) en http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/brao/index.html (accessed 09 February 2019).

Federal Law "On the practice of law and the legal profession in the Russian Federation" (May 31, 2005) en https://en.fparf.ru/documents/test/21156/ (accessed 09 February 2019).

Kuksin, I. N.; Markhgeym, M. V.; Novikova, A. E. & Tonkov, E. E. "Justice as Principle: Aspects of Genesis in Social and Regulatory Systems". The Social Sciences, Vol: 11 num 10 (2016): 2367-2370.

Law of the People's Republic of China on Lawyers en http://english.gov.cn/archive/laws_regulations/2014/08/23/content_281474983042459.htm (accessed 09 February 2019).

Makogon, B. V.; Markhgeym, M. V.; Novikova, A. E.; Nikonova, L. I. & Stus, N. V. "Constitutional Justice in Circumstances of Public Authority Limits". Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 7 num 2 (2018): 722-728.

Order (décret) No 91-1197 of 27 November 1991 organizing the legal profession en http://encyclopedie.avocats.fr/GED_BWZ/197521391570/CNB-2014-06-00_aei_Textes-Profession-avocat-LG-eNG(P).pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

The Constitution of the United States of America en https://wipolex.wipo.int/ru/text/177216 (accessed 09 February 2019).

The Solicitors act en http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1974/47/pdfs/ukpga_19740047_en.pdf (accessed 09 February 2019).

United Kingdom Law: Legal Profession en http://ox.libguides.com/c.php?g=422832&p=2887402 (accessed 09 February 2019).

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de la **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.